

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,323	01/09/2004	Brett W. Sareyka	0326	7488
7590 01/08/2009 Eugene Chovanes			EXAMINER	
Jackson and Chovanes Suite 319 One Bala Plaza			CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004-1455			3633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/754,323 SAREYKA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jeanette E. Chapman 3633 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Ranky

renou for Keply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed and the communication.  If the provision of the provision of the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed and the communication.  If the provision of the provision of the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed and the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed and the provision of the CPR 1.136(a) and the provision of the provision of the CPR 1.136(a) and the provision of the provisio	
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 October 2008.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4a) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column some c	
Attachment(s)	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/754,323

Art Unit: 3633

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticiapted by Dunn et al (4601153)

Dunn et all discloses a method of forming a connection in a suspended ceiling grid10 between cross beams 12 and a main beam 11, using a connector 31 on the end of a cross beam 12 that is stabbed through a slot 25 in a main beam 11, and that locks the connector separately

- (I) to the main beam by means of a straight locking latch 40 on the connector that, as the connector is stabbed through the slot 25.
- a. engages a side of the slot 25, and
- b. pivots from a base in the connector at a bend shown in figure 3; and
- (2) to an opposing identical second connector 31 already in the slot 25;

wherein the improvement comprises a bend in the form of an arc, figure 3;

Column 4, line 34 states that tab 40 is bent from the plane of the tongue or connector

31. Hence , the locking latch pivots along the arc toward the base as the connector is stabbed through the slot.

Such improvement delays contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch ....:

.... while a taper on the connector being stabbed through the slot positions the

Application/Control Number: 10/754,323

Art Unit: 3633

connector vertically within the slot more quickly than without the delay: so that a longer lever arm is created to apply force to pivot the locking latch as it is stabbed through the slot than would created without the delay: ....so that the lateral friction created between the connector also ready in the slot and the connector that is being stabbed through the slot, is substantially reduced from the lateral friction created without the delay; .... so that during the delay, the connector being stabbed through the slot can be adjusted vertically to a position where it locks with the connector already in the slot. The methods above requires substantially less force over a shorter distance with the improvements to lock the connectors to each other and to the main beam, than is required without the improvements..

Note: compare figure 3 of Dunn et al with figure 1a of applicant. The structure is identical

Claims 2 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al.

Claims 2 and 8-9 (is/are) rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al. Dunn et al. lacks the radius arc and the dimensions of the latch shown in applicant's figure. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it of routine skill to select a radius and dimensions permitting the connector and locking latch to operate optimally and as intended.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Art Unit: 3633

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeanette E. Chapman whose telephone number is 571-272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, GLESSNER BRIAN can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeanette E Chapman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3633

\*\*1